REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the

invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular,

claim 2 has been cancelled, while claim 1 has been amended to

include the limitations of cancelled claim 2. In addition, the

claims have been amended for clarity.

The Examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,504,782 to Campbell, Jr.

in view of U.S. Patent 5,495,186 to Kanazawa et al. Applicants

acknowledge that the Examiner has found claims 2-7 allowable over

the prior art of record.

In view of the above changes, Applicants believe that the

Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claim 1 has been overcome.

Applicants believe that this application, containing

claims 1 and 3-7, is now in condition for allowance and such action

is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by___/Edward W. Goodman/_

Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613

Attorney

6

Tel.: 914-333-9611

PHNL020792-AMT-120706.doc